BELARUS NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
B.I. STEPANOV's INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS

arXiv:hep-th/0306060v1 7 Jun 2003

Red'kov V.M.[1]

MONOPOLE BPS-SOLUTIONS OF THE YANG-MILLS EQUATIONS IN SPACE OF EUCLID, RIEMANN, and LOBACHEVSKI

Abstract

Procedure of finding of the Bogomolny-Prasad-Sommerfield monopole solutions in the Georgi-Glashow model is investigated in detail on the backgrounds of three space models of constant curvature: Euclid, Riemann, Lobachevski's. Classification of possible solutions is given. It is shown that among all solutions there exist just three ones which reasonably and in a one-to-one correspondence can be associated with respective geometries. It is pointed out that the known non-singular BPS-solution in the flat Minkowski space can be understood as a result of somewhat artificial combining the Minkowski space background with a possibility naturally linked up with the Lobachewski geometry. The standpoint is brought forth that of primary interest should be regarded only three specifically distinctive solutions — one for every curved space background. In the framework of those arguments the generally accepted status of the known monopole BPS-solution should be critically reconsidered and even might be given away.

PASC: 1130, 0230, 0365, 2110H

Key Words: monopole, BPS-limit, non-Euclide geometry, constant curvature,

7 pages, 17 references

---

[1] E-mail: redkov@dragon.bas-net.by

1. Radial equations

In the literature, a SU(2)-monopole problem in the limit of Bogomolny-Prasad-Sommerfield and on a curved space-time background has attracted some interest [1-17]. Additional analysis of this system is performed in the present work. In a space-time with a metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ let us consider the Higgs isotriplet of scalar fields. Lagrangian of that system is given by

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\, g_{\alpha\beta}(x)\; (\partial_\alpha \Phi^a)(\partial_\beta \Phi^a) - \frac{\lambda}{4}\, (\Phi^2 - F^2)^2 . \tag{1.1}$$

Clearly, covariant derivative of a scalar field $\nabla_\alpha \Phi$ coincides with the ordinary derivative $\partial_\alpha \Phi$. The fields $\Phi^a(x)$ $(a = 1, 2, 3)$ are supposed to be real; correspondingly, the Lagrangian is invariant under $SO(3.R)$ group transformations. Making the symmetry transformations local, depended on coordinates x^α, we are to replace the ∇_α by the gauge-covariant one

$$\partial_\alpha \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_\alpha \Phi^a = \partial_\alpha\, \Phi^a + e\, \epsilon_{abc}\, W^b_\alpha\, \Phi^c\; . \tag{1.2}$$

Here and in the following, isotriplet indices are used equally as upper and lower ones. In eq.(1.2) the symbol W^b_α stands for the Yang-Mills isotriplet. Gauge-invariant antisymmetric tensor has a form

$$F^a_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha\, W^a_\beta - \partial_\beta\, W^a_\alpha + e\, \epsilon_{abc}\, W^b_\alpha\, W^c_\beta\; . \tag{1.3}$$

Modifying derivatives ∂_α in the Lagrangian (1.1) in accordance with (1.2), and adding the Lagrangian of free Yang-Mills triplet, one can produce a complete Lagrangian under consideration

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\, g_{\alpha\beta}(x) D_\alpha \Phi^a D_\beta \Phi^a - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\Phi^2 - F^2)^2 - \frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha\rho}(x) g^{\beta\sigma}(x) F^a_{\alpha\beta}\; F^a_{\rho\sigma} . \tag{1.4}$$

From (1.4), in accordance with the variational principle, one can derive equations

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} D_\alpha \sqrt{-g} D^\alpha \Phi^a = -\lambda (\Phi^2 - F^2)\; \Phi^a ,$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} D_\alpha \sqrt{-g} F^{\alpha\beta}_a = -e \epsilon_{abc} \Phi^b D^\beta \Phi^c . \tag{1.5}$$

In the following, all analysis will be done for three (curved) space models: Euclid's — E_3, Riemann's — S_3, and Lobachevski's — H_3. At this conformally flat coordinates will be used:

$$dS^2 = (dx^0)^2 + \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} [(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2]),$$

$$r = \sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2}\; . \tag{1.6}$$

To E_3-model there corresponds $\Sigma = 1$, to S_3- model — $\Sigma = (1 + r^2/4)$, and for H_3-model — $\Sigma = (1 - r^2/4)$.

Let us be solving eqs. (1.5) in spaces (1.6) with the use of the known Julia-Zee (dyon) ansatz:

$$\Phi^a = x^a \Phi(r), \qquad W^a_0 = x^a f(r)\; , \tag{1.7}$$

After making all necessary calculation one can reach at radial equations for $\Phi(r), f(r), K(r)$:

$$\Phi'' + \frac{4}{r}\, \Phi' - 2e\; \Phi\; (2 + e r^2 K)\; K - \frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma}\; (\Phi' + \frac{\Phi}{r}) = 0,$$

$$f'' + \frac{4}{r}\; f' - 2e\; f\; (2 + e r^2 K)\; K - \frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma}\; (f' + \frac{f}{r}) = 0,$$

$$K'' + \frac{4K'}{r} + e\; \frac{(f^2 - \Phi^2)\; (1 + e r^2 K)}{\Sigma^2} - e\; K^2\; (3 + e r^2 K) +$$

$$+ \frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma}\; (K' + \frac{2K}{r}) = 0. \tag{1.8}$$

In (1.8) we have taken $\lambda = 0$ (the Bogomolny-Prasad-Sommerfield limit), thus in the following only the situation in absence of self-interactions between components of scalar triplet will be examined.

2. Solutions in flat space

Now let us turn to eqs. (1.8) specified for flat Minkowski space model (in Sec. 3 and Sec. 4 we will extend the solving procedure to H_3 and S_3 models). As $\Sigma = 1$ eqs. (1.8) take on the form

$$\Phi'' + \frac{4}{r}\,\Phi' - 2e\,\Phi\,(2 + er^2K)\,K = 0,\; f'' + \frac{4}{r}\,f' - 2e\,f\,(2 + er^2K)\,K = 0,$$

$$K'' + \frac{4K'}{r} + e\,(f^2 - \Phi^2)\,(1 + er^2K) - e\,K^2\,(3 + er^2K) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

It is known that the dyon system (2.1) can be solved on the base of some preliminaries done for purely monopole system. To the latter there corresponds the following substitution

$$\Phi^a = x^a\,\Phi(r), \qquad W^a_0 = 0, \qquad W^a_i = \epsilon_{iab}\,x^b\,K(r) \tag{2.2a}$$

and respective radial equations are

$$\Phi'' + \frac{4}{r}\,\Phi' - 2e\,\Phi(2 + er^2K)\,K = 0\;,$$

$$K'' + \frac{4K'}{r} - e\,\Phi^2\,(1 + er^2K) - e\,K^2\,(3 + er^2K) = 0\;. \tag{2.2b}$$

Turning again to eqs. (2.1) and setting $f = c\,\Phi$, where c is a constant, one comes to

$$f = c\,\Phi, \qquad \frac{d^2}{dr^2}\,\Phi + \frac{4}{r}\,\frac{d}{dr}\Phi - 2e\,\Phi\,(2 + er^2K)\,K = 0\;,$$

$$\frac{1}{1-c^2}\,(\frac{d^2}{dr^2}\,K + \frac{4}{r}\,\frac{d}{dr}K) - e\,\Phi^2\,(1 + er^2K) - \frac{eK^2}{1-c^2}\,(3 + er^2K) = 0.$$

From these, having introduced a new radial variable $r \;\longrightarrow\; (1-c^2)^{1/4}\,r = \tilde{r}$ and a new function $\tilde{K}$

$$\frac{K(r)}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} = \tilde{K}\;[(1-c^2)^{1/4}r]\;,$$

one obtains a system of the above type (2.2b). Therefore the dyon functions have been reduced to monopole ones:

$$\Phi = \tilde{\Phi}\;[(1-c^2)^{1/4}r], \qquad f(r) = c\,\Phi(r),$$

$$K(r) = \sqrt{1-c^2}\;\tilde{K}\;[(1-c^2)^{1/4}r]\;. \tag{2.3}$$

Taking this in mind further we will examine only the purely monopole equations. (2.2b).

For further work instead of $\Phi(r)$ and $K(r)$ in (2.2b) it is convenient to use new functions f_1 and f_2:

$$1 + e\,r^2\;K(r) = r\;f_1(r)\;, \qquad 1 + e\,r^2\;\Phi(r) = r\;f_2(r)\;. \tag{2.4}$$

Correspondingly, eqs. (2.2b) will assume the form

$$2(f_2' + f_1^2) + (f_2'' - 2f_1^2 f_2) = 0,$$

$$2(f_1' + f_1 f_2) + r(f_1'' - f_1 f_2^2 - f_1^3) = 0\;. \tag{2.5}$$

One can solve these equations by demanding four equalities

$$f_2' + f_1^2 = 0, \qquad f_2'' - 2f_1^2 f_2 = 0,$$

$$f_1' + f_1 f_2 = 0, \qquad f_1'' - f_1 f_2^2 - f_1^3 = 0. \tag{2.6a}$$

It is easily verified that second and forth relations are simple results from first and thirdth ones. Thus, finally we have only two equations:

$$f_1' = -f_1 f_2, \qquad f_2' = -f_1^2, \qquad \textit{or}$$

$$f_2 = -\frac{f_1'}{f_1}, \qquad (\frac{f_1'}{f_1})' = f_1^2. \tag{2.6b}$$

The task has reduced to a single differential equation

$$(\frac{f_1'}{f_1})' = f_1^2 \; . \tag{2.6c}$$

Making evident operations one can get

$$\frac{d}{dr} \; [\, (\ln f_1)' \,]^2 = \frac{d}{dr} \; f_1^2 \; .$$

From this it follows

$$(\ln f_1)' = \pm \sqrt{f_1^2 + c_1} \; , \qquad \int \frac{df_1}{f_1 \, \sqrt{c_1 + f_1^2}} = \pm \, (r + const) \; .$$

Depending upon the sign of the constant c_1 we have three different solutions:

$$\underline{c_1 = 0}, \qquad f_1 = \pm \, \frac{1}{r+b} \; , \; f_2 = \frac{1}{r+b} \; . \tag{2.7a}$$

$$\underline{c_1 < 0}, \qquad f_1 = \pm \, \frac{a}{\sinh(ar+b)} \; , \; f_2 = \frac{a}{\tanh(ar+b)} . \tag{2.7b}$$

$$\underline{c_1 > 0}, \qquad f_1 = \pm \frac{a}{\sin(ar+b)}, \; f_2 = \frac{a}{\tan(ar+b)} . \tag{2.7c}$$

Here it should be noted that in going from (2.6b) to (2.6c) we have missed one simple (but important) solution[2]

$$f_1(r) = 0 \;\; , \qquad f_2 = const \; . \tag{2.7d}$$

3. Some technical details

In curved space models H_3 and S_3, analogously to the flat space E_3, there exists possibility to construct dyon functions in terms of purely monopole's ones (all details are omitted). By virtue of this in the following we will examine only the purely monopole ansatz. Instead of $K(r)$ and $\Phi(r)$ (See (1.8)) let us determine new ones:

$$1 + er^2 K(r) = A(r), \qquad er^2 \Phi(r) = B(r) \; . \tag{3.1}$$

The system (1.8) (setting $f(r) \equiv 0$) will assume the form

$$B'' - \frac{2BA^2}{r^2} + \frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma} (\frac{B}{r} \; - \; B') = 0,$$

$$A'' - \frac{AB^2}{r^2 \Sigma^2} + \frac{A(1-A^2)}{r^2} + \frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma} A' = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

For $A(r)$ and $B(r)$ we will take substitutions

$$A = c f_1(R), \qquad B = a f_2(R) + b.$$

Here a, b, c, R stand for some yet unknown functions with respect to r, whereas f_1 and f_2 are assumed to obey two relationships (See (2.6b))

$$\frac{d}{dR} \; f_1 = - \; f_1 \; f_2 \; , \qquad \frac{d}{dR} \; f_2 = - \; f_1^2 \; .$$

Therefore they coincide with the above f_1 and f_2 (2.7). Initial equations (1.8) give us the following relationships for these four functions:

$$a'' + \frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma} \; (\frac{a}{r} - a') = 0 \; , \qquad b'' + \frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma} \; (\frac{b}{r} - b') = 0 \; ,$$

$$c^2 = \frac{a^2}{\Sigma^2}, \qquad (R')^2 = \frac{a^2}{r^2 \Sigma^2}, \qquad 2bR' = -3a' + 2\frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma} a + \frac{a}{r} \; . \tag{3.3}$$

Take notice that the functions $a(r)$ and $b(r)$ satisfy linear differential equations. Hence, one can find general expressions for $a(r)$ and $b(r)$, and further determine $c(r)$ and $R(r)$, and finally all these are to be substituted into the last equation in (3.3).

---

[2] Which is to be interpreted as abelian Dirac's nonopole being placed into background of the non-abelian theory.

4. Monopole in H_3-space

In case of Lobachevski model, the equations for $A(r)$ and $b(r)$ are the same $(a,\ b = g)$:

$$\frac{d^2}{dr^2} g + \frac{r}{2(1 - r^2/4)} \frac{d}{dr} g - \frac{1}{2(1 - r^2/4)} g = 0.$$

Two linearly independent solutions are $g_1 = r,\ g_2 = (1 + r^2/4)$. Therefore

$$a = a_1\, r + a_2\, (1 + r^2/4), \qquad b = b_1\, r + b_2\, (1 + r^2/4)\ . \tag{4.1}$$

Further for $c(r)$ and $R(r)$ we have

$$c(r) = \delta\, \left(a_1\, \frac{r}{1 - r^2/4}\ +\ a_2\, \frac{1 + r^2/4}{1 - r^2/4} \right)\ , \tag{4.2a}$$

$$R(r) = \epsilon\, \left(a_1 \int \frac{dr}{1 - r^2/4}\ + a_2 \int \frac{1 + r^2/4}{1 - r^2/4} dr \right) =$$

$$= \epsilon\ (\ a_1\ 2 arc\ tanh \frac{r}{2}\ +\ a_2\ \ln \frac{r}{1 - r^2/4}) + const\ . \tag{4.2b}$$

Turning to the last relation in (3.3) and having for its left side

$$2ab = 2\ [\ a_2\ b_2 + (a_1\ b_2 + b_1\ a_2) r + (a_1\ b_1 + \frac{1}{2}\ a_2\ b_2)\ r^2 +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}\ (a_1\ b_2 + a_2\ b_1) r^3 + \frac{1}{16}\ a_2\ b_2 r^4\ ]$$

and for its right side

$$\epsilon\ r\ \Sigma\ (-3a' + 2 \frac{\Sigma}{\Sigma}\ a + \frac{a}{r}) = \epsilon\ [\ a_2 - 2 a_1\ r - \frac{5}{2}\ a_2\ r^2 - \frac{1}{2}\ a_1\ r^3 + \frac{1}{16}\ a_2\ r^4\ ]\ ,$$

we readily reach at three relations

$$2\ a_2\ b_2 = \epsilon\ a_2, \qquad (a_1\ b_2 + a_2\ b_1) = -\epsilon\ a_1,$$

$$(a_1\ b_1 + \frac{1}{2}\ b_2\ a_1) = -\epsilon\ \frac{5}{4}\ a_2\ . \tag{4.3}$$

Supposing $a_2 \neq 0$, from first relation it follows $b_2 = \epsilon/2$, and two remaining ones take on the form

$$a_2\ b_1 = -\frac{3}{2}\ \epsilon a_1, \qquad a_1\ b_1 = -\frac{3}{2}\ \epsilon a_2\ .$$

Further getting

$$\frac{a_2}{a_1} = + \frac{a_1}{a_2} \implies (\frac{a_2}{a_1})^2 = +1\ ,$$

and therefore

$$\frac{a_2}{a_1} = \pm\ 1, \qquad b_1 = \mp\ \frac{3}{2}\ \epsilon\ .$$

So at $a_2 \neq 0$ we arrive at the solution

$$\textbf{I}. \qquad a = a_1\ [\ \pm (1 + \frac{r^2}{4})\ ]\ , \qquad b = \frac{\epsilon}{2}\ [\ \mp\ 3r + (1 + \frac{r^2}{4})\ ]\ ,$$

$$c(r) = \delta\ a_1\ \frac{r\ \pm\ (1 + r^2/4)}{1 - r^2/4})\ ,$$

$$R(r) = \epsilon\ a_1\ \left(2\ arc\ tanh \frac{r}{2}\ \pm\ a_2\ \ln \frac{r}{1 - r^2/4} \right)\ +\ const\ . \tag{4.4}$$

There are other possibilities too. Thus, having supposed $a_2 = 0$, from (4.3) it follows

$$a_1\ b_2 = -\epsilon\ a_1, \qquad a_1\ b_1 = 0\ .$$

From this it follows: $if\ a_2 = 0,\ \ a_1 \neq 0$ then $b_1 = 0,\ \ b_2 = -\epsilon$. Therefore

$$\textbf{II} \ : \qquad a(r) = a_1\, r \ , \qquad b(r) = -\epsilon\, (1 + \frac{r^2}{4}) \ ,$$

$$c(r) = \delta\, \frac{a_1 r}{1 - r^2/4}, \qquad R(r) = \epsilon\, a_1\, (\ 2\, arc\ tanh \frac{r}{2}\ ) \ . \tag{4.5}$$

And third solution there exists at $a_2, a_1 = 0$:

$$\textbf{III}. \qquad a(r) = 0, \qquad b(r) = b_1\, r + b_2\, (1 + \frac{r^2}{4}) \ ,$$

$$c(r) = 0, \qquad R(r) = const. \tag{4.6}$$

5. Monopole in S_3-space.

Analysis for S_3-model can be accomplished in analogous way. Omitting all details we write down final results:

$$\textbf{I}. \qquad a = a_1\, [\, r\ \pm i\, (1 - r^2/4)\,]\ ,$$

$$b = \frac{\epsilon}{2}\, [\, \pm 3i\, r + (1 - r^2/4)\,]\ , \qquad c = \delta\, \frac{a(r)}{1 + r^2/4}\ ,$$

$$R = \epsilon\, a_1\, \left(2\, arc\ tan \frac{r}{2}\ \pm\ i\ \ln \frac{r/2}{1 + r^2/4} \right) + const\ ; \tag{5.1}$$

$$\textbf{II}. \qquad a = a_1\, r\ ,\ b(r) = -\epsilon\, (1 - r^2/4)\ ,$$

$$c = \delta\, \frac{a_1 r}{1 + r^2/4}\ ,\ R = \epsilon 2\ arc\ tan \frac{r}{2} + const\ ; \tag{5.2}$$

$$\textbf{III}. \qquad a = 0\ ,\ b = b_1\, r + b_2\, (1 - r^2/4)\ ,$$

$$c(r) = 0\ ,\ R(r) = const\ . \tag{5.3}$$

6. Discussion

The solution of the type I in S_3-model is complex and it can be withdrawn from physical consideration because of the initial requirement of reality of all fields. The I-type solution in H_3 space, though being real, should be regarded as non-physical because it is a direct counterpart of complex that in S_3 space. The solutions of the type III in both H_3 and S_3 models can be evidently qualified as degenerated and of small physical interest. Thus, only the type II solutions in both spaces are to be of physical meaning ant they must be analyzed further.

And a final remark. Among all solutions in three spaces models E_3, H_3, S_3 there exist just three ones which reasonably and in a one-to-one correspondence can be associated with respective geometries. The situation can be characterized by the schema

	E_3	H_3	S_3
$(ar + b)$	$*$	$-$	$-$
$\sinh(ar + b)$	$-$	$*$	$-$
$\sin(ar + b)$	$-$	$-$	$*$

It should be noted that the known non-singular BPS-solution in the flat Minkowski space can be understood as a result of somewhat artificial combining the Minkowski space background with a possibility naturally linked up with the Lobachewski geometry

	E_3	H_3	S_3
$(ar + b)$	$-$	$-$	$-$
$\sinh(ar + b)$	$*$	$-$	$-$
$\sin(ar + b)$	$-$	$-$	$-$

The standpoint is brought forth that of primary interest should be regarded only three specifically distinctive solutions — one for every curved space background. In the framework of those arguments the generally accepted status of the known monopole BPS-solution should be critically reconsidered and even might be given away.

References

1. G. 't Hooft *Monopoles in unified gauge theories.* Nucl. Phys. B. 1974. Vol. 79, No 2. P. 276-284.

2. B. Julia, A. Zee. *Poles with both magnetic and electric charges in non-Abelian gauge theory.* Phys. Rev. D. 1975. Vol. 11, No 8. P. 2227-2232.

3. M.K. Prasad, C.M. Sommerfield. *Exact classical solution of the 't Hooft monopole and Julia-Zee dyon.* Phys. Rev. Lett. 1975. Vol. 35, No 12. P. 760-762.

4. F.A. Bais, R.J. Russel. *Magnetic-monopole solution of non-Abelian gauge theory in curved space-time.* Phys. Rev. D. 1975. Vol. 11, No. 10. P. 2692-2695.

5. E.B Bogomolny. *Stability of classical solutions* (in Rissian). Yad. Physika. 1976. Vol. 24, No 4. P. 861-870.

6. P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, D. Wilkinson, M. Perry. *Regular solution of 't Hooft's magnetic monopole in curved space.* Phys. Rev. D. 1976. Vol. 13, No 4. P. 778-784.

7. D. Wilkinson, F.A. Bais. Phys. Rev. D. 1979. Vol. 19. P. 2410-2415.

8. M. Komata, M. Kasuya. *An exact Schwinger dyon solution in the Kerr-Newman space-time.* Nuovo Cim. A. 1981. Vol. 66, No 1. P. 67-70.

9. M. Kasuya. *An exact rotating Julia-Zee dyon solution with the Kerr-Newman metric.* Phys. Lett. B. 1981. Vol. 103, No 4-5. P. 353-354.

10. M. Komata. *Comments on an exact rotating Julia-Zee dyon solution with the Kerr-Newman metric.* Phys. Lett. B. 1981. Vol. 107, No. 1-2. P. 44-46.

11. V.K. Schigolev. *Magnetic monopole in general relativity.*(in Russian). Izvest. Wuzow. Physika. 1982, No 11. P. 89.

12. V.K. Schigolev. *Magnetic monopole solutions of the non-abelian theory in the expending universe* (in Rissian). Izvest. Wuzow. Physika. 1984. Vol. 27. No 8. P. 67-71.

13. V.N. Melnikov, V.K. Shigolev. *Exact S0(3) magnetic monopole solutions in the expanding universe.* Lett. Nuovo Cim. 1984. Vol. 39, No 5. P. 364-368.

14. J. Villarroel. *Yang-Mills solutions in* $S^3 \times S^1$. J. Math. Phys. 1987. Vol. 28, No 11. P. 2610-2613.

15. B.S. Pajput, G. Rashmi |em Unification of fields associated with dyons. Gravito-dyons in non-Abelian gauge theory. Indian J. Pure and Appl. Phys. 1989. Vol. 27. No 1. P. 1-9.

16. A.A. Ershov, D.V. Gal'tsov. *Non-existence of regular monopoles and dyons in the SU(2) Einstein-Yang-Mills theory.* Phys. Lett. A. 1990. Vol. 150. No 3-4. P. 159-162.

17. Y. Yang. *On the BPS limit in the classical SU(2) gauge theory.* J. Phys. A. 1990. Vol. 23. No 9. L. 403-407.